Spatial confinement and life under pressure: From Physiology to Pathology

- 3 Morgan Delarue^{1,2}
 - ¹LAAS-CNRS, Universite de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France
- 6 ²<u>mdelarue@laas.fr</u> 7

8 Abstract

9 Tree roots sprouting into the ground or tumors proliferating in the body of an organ are as many 10 examples of proliferation under spatial confinement. Confined proliferation is inseparable from 11 growth-induced pressure. This compressive mechanical stress can impact plethora of processes 12 in all kingdoms of the living. In this review, I will discuss physiological and pathological 13 consequences of spatial confinement and life under pressure in plants, microbes and animal 14 cells, and discuss in more depth the case of solid tumors.

15

1

2

4 5

16 Introduction

17 Cells live in spatially-confined environments – this is often more the rule than the exception. 18 Spatial confinement can be total, like roots sprouting into the porous soil, or partial, like cell 19 growth on a substrate. When cells proliferate in confinement, their growth leads to the 20 emergence of a self-inflicted mechanical compressive stress, which we will refer to as growth-21 induced pressure, or GIP for short (Fig. 1). GIP is a mechanical pressure and is not to be confounded with osmotic or hydrostatic pressures – although it could share some similarities 22 with the former^{1,2}, and the latter has been recently implicated during development³. In this 23 review, we will discuss both the physiological and pathological effects of confined growth and 24 25 subsequent GIP, in all living kingdoms, from plants to fungi and bacteria, all the way to animal 26 cells.



Fig. 1: Cells proliferate in a spatially-confined environment. This confinement can be total or partial, and can lead to the emergence of growth-induced pressure, which compresses both the surroundings and the cells. Growth-induced pressure has physiological and pathological consequences in all realms of the living.

- 27 The effect of GIP has been much less studied than the effect of tensile stress, probably due to
- 28 methodological limitations to confine cells. Moreover, the effect of tensile stress is largely
- restricted to animal cells, due to their contractile cortex⁴, which most walled-organisms do not possess. Recent experiments suggest that GIP can impact a myriad of processes in cells, ranging
- from cell growth and division to cell apoptosis, cell migration, or cell (trans-)differentiation.
- The topic being broad, I apologize in advance for the studies I could have unintentionally
- 33 omitted. I will not discuss in this review the different means to confine cells and study GIP,
- 34 which mainly consist in hydrogel embedding and microsystem confining chambers.
- 35 Additionally, I will not discuss the effect of spatial confinement on cell motility. There are

excellent reviews (see for instance⁵) on the effect of confinement in cell migration, which is
 restricted to mobile animal cells.

38 Growth-induced pressure is a natural component of physiology in all kingdoms

39 Plant development is a great example of how cells can be totally or partially confined. At the 40 bottom of trees for instance, roots naturally expand in the soil, and are totally confined in this dense and porous environment⁶. It has been shown that plant cells are able to develop a large 41 42 mechanical stress, in the MPa range (tens of atmosphere)⁶, enough to break GPa concrete. GIP 43 generated by plants, but also by microbes, thus participates in biofouling⁷. However, cells are 44 only partially confined at the top of the plant, being attached to their substrate. The aerial tip of 45 Arabidopsis thaliana is an interesting example of the link between GIP and organogenesis⁸. 46 Localized outgrowth at the periphery of the shoot apical meristem leads to the buildup of planar 47 GIP, which is evidenced by nuclear compaction, at the interface between the growing organ 48 and the meristem. The cells in this region are further methylated⁸ by this mechanical 49 compression and their proliferation seems stalled⁹, determining the boundary of the nascent 50 organ. These data show that GIP is an essential component of plant organogenesis.

Microbes too can develop in the soil and in porous environments^{10,11}. Natural confinement and 51 52 compression can also occur inside our body, in the gut notably where food can generate 53 polyelectrolytes that lead to the swelling of the mucus and the compression of potentially 54 embedded microbes¹². Bacteria and fungi are also developing as colonies called biofilms where cells are surrounded by other cells and an extrapolymeric substance (EPS). GIP can emerge 55 56 within these structures, but also as the structure expands on its own: 2D bacterial colonies with 57 no substrate adhesion but displaying a large friction leads to the buildup of GIP. This compression shapes the folds of the colony¹³, and has also been associated with EPS production. 58 59 This local compression leads to confined bacterial cell death, which facilitates 3D growth and 60 the formation of wrinkles¹⁴. In addition, GIP has been shown to decrease cell proliferation in both fungi^{1,15,16} and bacteria^{17,18}. In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, cell compression activates 61 cAMP, leading to cell growth regulation, as a potential means to gauge population density¹⁹. 62 As such, compressive stress, by modulating different traits of the population – division, cell 63 64 death, ECM production – is an essential component shaping microbial colonies²⁰.

Spatial confinement can be found both in 2D and in 3D in the case of adherent animal cells. 65 When cells proliferate on a 2D substrate, they start, just like microbes and plants, to build up a 66 planar compressive stress²¹. In two-dimensional *in vitro* systems, this compressive stress has 67 mainly been studied in the framework of the so-called contact inhibition²²: when cell density 68 69 gets too high, cells start to regulate their number by acting on both cell division²¹ and cell death^{23,24}. However, what they mechanically experience in the bulk is a compressive GIP. 70 Stretching a dense monolayer leads to cell cycle re-entry²⁵, while further compressing it just 71 72 stops cell proliferation²⁶. Similarly to microbes, local hotspots of compression are correlated with cell extrusion²⁷, ensuring a constant cell density in monolayers. However, a cell's ability 73 74 to contract (pull) or extend (push) within a monolayer seems to depend on a tight balance 75 between intercellular and intracellular forces, mediated in part by E-cadherins, such that a 76 monolayer of fibroblasts would be under tension while a monolayer of epithelial cells would 77 mainly be under compression²⁸. This different sensitivity could be essential when it comes to 78 cells mechanically competing for space²³.

The emergence of planar GIP is also found in the context of animal organogenesis. During the development of the leg of the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, cells are under a natural compression which is exerted by the surrounding tissue and the confining peripodial envelop. This compression is essential to morphogenesis, as it promotes local cell extrusion, leading to apical pulling forces, generating the future folds of the leg²⁹. Apoptosis is preferentially localized in the future fold and is induced by compression, as the removal of the envelop and

- 85 relaxation of natural compression dramatically reduces the number of cell death events, while
- 86 increased compression does the converse. As such, similarly to microbes, compression is 87 essential to the shaping of folds. Interestingly, spatial confinement, among other factors, also
- seems to be implicated in cortical folding 30,31 , which is essential for the proper functioning of
- 89 the brain.

90 Three-dimensional confinement is equally present during organogenesis, as recently exemplified during rodent incisors development³². Local 3D cell proliferation leads to the 91 92 emergence of growth-induced pressure, which locally deforms nuclei, similar to what has been 93 show during plant organogenesis⁸. Cell proliferation is shown to be progressively inhibited in 94 the region of compression, which is known to regulate enamel knot gene expression. 95 Proliferation-induced mechanical compression, which is possible through the confinement 96 imposed by the surrounding tissue, thus drives the formation of a signaling center which 97 organizes tooth formation, regulating both cell proliferation and cell fate.

98 Ultimately, growth-induced pressure emerges as a natural component of physiology across all 99 living kingdoms. It plays a crucial role in shaping and maintaining plant / animal organs or 100 microbial colonies. In particular, local confinement and growth-induced pressure can be an 101 integral part of signaling centers which are essential during organogenesis, and could be 102 superimposed to or even at the origin of chemical signals. The shaping of organs or colonies is 103 facilitated through the mechanical regulation of ECM or EPS production, alongside the control 104 of cell division, cell death, and cell fate. Compression resulting from local confinement also 105 seems important for homeostasis, by for instance maintaining confined oocytes into dormancy³³

- 106 or muscle stem cells³⁴ into quiescence.
- 107

108 Pathological aspects of cell confinement

109 Host-pathogen interactions can be found in the form of a mechanical compression, and, 110 similarly, modifications of the local mechanical environment can prime cells to be resistant to 111 their natural pathogens. Recently, biotic interaction between plants and micro-organisms has 112 been proposed to involve mechanical forces, and to potentiate mechanoperception³⁵. It has been 113 shown that the lysing action of the fungus Sclerotinia sclerotiorum leads to local decreased 114 mechanical stress, releasing cell-wall born tension. This triggers distal cell mechanical 115 perception of this injury and reorganization of the mechanosensing cortical microtubules, which 116 are required to regulated immunity-related genes. This mechanism of mechano-signaling 117 triggered immunity could complement the classical molecular signaling involved in plants' 118 response to pathogens.

119 Microbes can be naturally compressed within their environment, either when proliferating in microcolonies, when occluding blood vessels³⁶, or when invading the mucus. *Escherichia coli* 120 121 compression has been shown to increase Rcs phosphorelay pathway under compression^{17,37}, 122 resulting in the production of an extracellular capsule. Through the development of clever 123 microfluidic devices, the authors have shown that compression induces persistent E. coli growth 124 in the presence of T7 bacteriophages, even at high concentration of phages³⁷. Interestingly, T7 125 bacteriophage resistance occurred at frequency much higher than what would be expected from 126 the selection of resistant mutants, suggesting that mechanical compression truly primed this high degree of resistance. Similarly, resistance to antibiotics have been found during the 127 confined growth of E. coli and S. aureus in human ECM of physiological rigidities³⁸. Resistance 128 129 has been associated to a downregulation of TCA cycle, improving antibiotic resistance, but 130 could also be associated with Rcs regulation.

131 Growth-induced pressure can also emerge during intracellular pathogens growth, such as 132 uropathogenic *E. coli* or *Listeria monocytogenes*. *E. coli* cells proliferating in confinement and building GIP has been shown to uncouple growth and division, thus leading to the formation of very small cells, such as the ones usually found during UPEC infections¹⁷. In the case of *L. monocytogenes*, it is proposed that the intracellular cell proliferation could increase internal pressure and could eventually lead to the rupture of the host cell, facilitating the spread of the pathogen to neighboring cells^{39,40}. This strategy of mechanical stress buildup during confined growth could be a common mechanism of infection for multiple microbes.

139 Besides host-pathogen mechanical interaction, or mechanical compression priming specific 140 resistance, cells within confined space must undergo tightly-regulated cell proliferation and 141 differentiation during development or in homeostatic conditions. Abnormal local growth during development or in adult stage can lead to disorders, like anomalous spatial confinement of 142 143 neural crest cells which seem to contribute to craniofacial abnormalities and other congenital 144 conditions⁴¹. Another famous example of abnormal local growth is the case of solid tumors. 145 Since the pioneering work from the group of R. Jain, it is now well established that tumor proliferation leads to the storage of solid stresses, and in particular compressive stress^{42–44}. This 146 compressive stress can have various origins, one coming from the local cell proliferation, in the 147 148 form of GIP, and another coming from excessive ECM deposition and, in particular hyaluronic 149 acid which leads to electroswelling of the matrix⁴⁵, further compressing the tumor.

150 Compressive stress within tumors has a large number of consequences, both for the tumor cells, 151 but also for the stromal compartment. As has repeatedly been shown in multiple organisms, cell proliferation in all living kingdoms is dramatically impacted by confined growth and GIP (see 152 Box below). Apart from one study⁴⁶, to my knowledge, 3D confined growth does not seem to 153 154 have a large impact on cell death. This is perhaps not surprising: while in 2D cells can extrude 155 from the tissue, extrusion in not possible within a tight 3D environment. One major potential 156 consequence of this proliferation decay under compression is chemotherapeutics resistance⁴⁷. 157 It has been shown in vitro that confinement-induced cell proliferation reduction directly limits

158 the number of target cells for 159 classical chemotherapy drugs such 160 gemcitabine (targeting cells as during DNA synthesis) or docetaxel 161 162 (targeting cells during mitosis), 163 participating thereby in а mechanical-form of drug resistance. 164

165 The stroma is equally impacted by 166 this compressive stress. One major 167 effect of mechanical compression is the collapse of blood vessels⁴⁸. This 168 169 decreases tumor perfusion, leading to lower accessibility to drugs, and to 170 171 any other blood-injected material. 172 Means to decompress the tumor to 173 increase accessibility are currently 174 under clinical trial, such as the use of 175 hyaluronidase⁴⁹ which seems to 176 decompress blood vessels in mice⁴⁸. 177 Cells within the stroma can also be 178 impacted by this mechanical 179 compression. In vitro, it has been 180 shown that fibroblasts can be 181 activated into cancer-associated

Modulation of cell proliferation under GIP

Up to date, it is not clear how GIP modulates cell growth and cell division, but both seem decreased under GIP in all studied organisms. The coordination of cell growth and division also seems lost, as it has recently been shown that both E. $coli^{17}$ and mammalian cells⁵⁹ become extra-small after a round of cell division at almost fixed volume, which does not seem to be the case in S. cerevisiae¹. While in animal cells some key players have been identified as likely co-modulators of confined cell division, such as YAP/TAZ⁵¹ and Piezo²⁵, or CDK inhibitors like p21⁶⁰ and p27⁶¹, the sensing of this mechanical compression is not elucidated. In fungi, pathways have been identified which can modulate both cell survival and cell division¹⁶. A feature that seems conserved to the emergence of GIP is the increase in macromolecular crowding^{1,17,62} which, together or alone, could modulate cell proliferation². Together, the changes in both the cellular physical properties and of unknown signaling pathways seem key to coordinate a decrease in growth and division under confinement.

182 fibroblasts (CAFs) by compression⁵⁰. A recent study has shown that CAFs are able to surround

183 and compress multicellular spheroids *in vitro*, leading to decreased cell proliferation⁵¹. *In vivo*, 184 they are also found to surround the tumor which seems compartmentalized into small clusters,

185 which are enriched at their borders in these highly contractile CAFs. These results suggest a

mechanism in which CAFs seem to naturally control tumor progression through mechanical

- 187 compression.
- 188

189 Concluding remarks: pressing down on tumors?

190 Cells are confined by their environment, either partially in two dimensions, or totally in three 191 dimensions. Confinement is found in both physiological and pathological conditions: during 192 normal development of plants, fungi, bacteria or animals, but also in the life cycle of pathogens 193 which can generate compressive stresses, either inter- or intra-cellularly. Oftentimes, the 194 pathological interaction with a host cell meets the physiological response of this cell to 195 mechanical stress: abiotically mechanically stressing cells for instance leads to resistance to 196 some natural pathogens⁵², which, during their infection, may be exerting similar biotic 197 mechanical stresses.

198 Tumor growth is a great example where the pathology naturally meets the physiology, and 199 where mechanical compression could be important both in cancer initiation and treatment. In a 200 seminal review in 2011, Bissell and Hines were asking the following question: "Why don't we 201 get more cancers?"⁵³. They proposed that the microenvironment could be restraining cancer 202 progression. Our recent knowledge on the matter suggest that part of this restraint could be 203 mechanical. While abnormally proliferating cells would generate solid stress, this stress could 204 physiologically activate distal fibroblast⁵⁰ which could control the microtumor mechanically by 205 compressing it⁵¹, without being able to close this "wound that does not heal⁵⁴", but preventing further growth. In the XVIIIth century, French clinician Joseph Récamier studied the effect of a 206 207 soft compression on breast clumps – at the time, it was hard to know if these were real tumors, and found interesting results, showing decrease or control of the growth of clumps⁵⁵. At the 208 same time, it seems that too much pressure could lead to quicker patient death⁵⁵, and recent 209 210 results imply that, on top of compressing blood vessels⁴⁸, potentially increasing drug 211 resistance⁴⁷, compression seems to also promote cell migration^{56–58}, suggesting that maybe, in 212 some cases, mechanical pressure should be decreased. Release the pressure in the tumor, or put 213 it *under pressure*, will depend on the type of tumor, and will require much more investigations 214 before being used as a therapeutic solution.

215

216 Acknowledgement

217 This work is partly funded by the European Union (ERC, UnderPressure, grant agreement

- 218 number 101039998). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only
- and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council.
- 220 Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.
- 221
- 222 Author Contributions
- 223 MD wrote the manuscript.
- 224
- 225 Competing interests
- None to declare.
- 227

228 References

- Alric, B., Formosa-Dague, C., Dague, E., Holt, L. & Delarue Macromolecular, M.
 Macromolecular crowding limits growth under pressure. *Nat Phys* (2022)
 doi:10.1101/2021.06.04.446859.
- Holt, L. J. & Delarue, M. Macromolecular crowding: Sensing without a sensor. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 85, 102269 (2023).
- Alasaadi, D. N. & Mayor, R. Mechanically guided cell fate determination in early
 development. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 81, 1–11 (2024).
- 236 4. Charras, G. & Yap, A. S. Tensile Forces and Mechanotransduction at Cell–Cell
 237 Junctions. *Current Biology* 28, 445–457 (2018).
- 238 5. Paul, C. D., Mistriotis, P. & Konstantopoulos, K. Cancer cell motility: lessons from
 239 migration in confined spaces. *Nature Reviews Cancer 2016 17:2* 17, 131–140 (2016).
- Bengough, A. G., Croser, C. & Pritchard, J. A biophysical analysis of root growth
 under mechanical stress. *Plant Soil* 189, 155–164 (1997).
- Warscheid, Th. & Braams, J. Biodeterioration of stone: a review. *Int Biodeterior Biodegradation* 46, 343–368 (2000).
- 8. Fal, K. *et al.* Tissue folding at the organ-meristem boundary results in nuclear
 compression and chromatin compaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118, e2017859118 (2021).
- 9. Breuil-Broyer, S. *et al.* High-resolution boundary analysis during *Arabidopsis thaliana*flower development. *The Plant Journal* 38, 182–192 (2004).
- Rittmann, B. E. The significance of biofilms in porous media. *Water Resour Res* 29, 2195–2202 (1993).
- 11. Cunningham, A. B., Characklls, W. G., Abedeen, F. & Crawford, D. Influence of
 Biofilm Accumulation on Porous Media Hydrodynamics. *Environ Sci Technol* 25, 1305–1311 (1991).
- Preska Steinberg, A., Wang, Z. G. & Ismagilov, R. F. Food Polyelectrolytes Compress
 the Colonic Mucus Hydrogel by a Donnan Mechanism. *Biomacromolecules* 20, 2675–
 2683 (2019).
- Fei, C. *et al.* Nonuniform growth and surface friction determine bacterial biofilm
 morphology on soft substrates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 117, 7622–7632 (2020).
- Asally, M. *et al.* Localized cell death focuses mechanical forces during 3D patterning
 in a biofilm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 18891–18896 (2012).
- 261 15. Delarue, M. *et al.* Self-Driven Jamming in Growing Microbial Populations. *Nat Phys*262 12, 762–766 (2016).
- 263 16. Delarue, M. *et al.* SCWISh network is essential for survival under mechanical pressure.
 264 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **114**, 13465–13470 (2017).
- 265 17. Blanc, L. Le *et al.* Bacterial growth under confinement requires transcriptional adaptation to resist metabolite-induced turgor pressure build-up. *bioRxiv*267 2024.09.20.614086 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.09.20.614086.
- 18. Wittmann, R., Nguyen, G. H. P., Löwen, H., Schwarzendahl, F. J. & Sengupta, A.
 Collective mechano-response dynamically tunes cell-size distributions in growing
 bacterial colonies. *Communications Physics 2023 6:1* 6, 1–13 (2023).
- 19. Ni, L. *et al.* Pressorum Sensing: Growth-induced Compression Activates cAMP
 Signaling in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *bioRxiv* 2024.07.08.602437 (2024)
 doi:10.1101/2024.07.08.602437.
- 274 20. Chu, E. K., Kilic, O., Cho, H., Groisman, A. & Levchenko, A. Self-induced mechanical
 275 stress can trigger biofilm formation in uropathogenic Escherichia coli. *Nat Commun* 9,
 276 4087 (2018).

- 277 21. Di Meglio, I. *et al.* Pressure and curvature control of the cell cycle in epithelia growing
 278 under spherical confinement. *Cell Rep* 40, 111227 (2022).
- 279 22. McClatchey, A. I. & Yap, A. S. Contact inhibition (of proliferation) redux. *Current*280 *Opinion in Cell Biology* vol. 24 685–694 Preprint at
 281 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2012.06.009 (2012).
- 282 23. Moreno, E., Valon, L., Levillayer, F. & Levayer, R. Competition for Space Induces
 283 Cell Elimination through Compaction-Driven ERK Downregulation. *Current Biology*284 29, 23-34.e8 (2019).
- 285 24. Gudipaty, S. A. & Rosenblatt, J. Epithelial Cell Extrusion: pathways and pathologies.
 286 Semin Cell Dev Biol 67, 132 (2017).
- 287 25. Gudipaty, S. A. *et al.* Mechanical stretch triggers rapid epithelial cell division through
 288 Piezo1. *Nature* 543, 118–121 (2017).
- 26. Streichan, S. J., Hoerner, C. R., Schneidt, T., Holzer, D. & Hufnagel, L. Spatial
 290 constraints control cell proliferation in tissues. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*291 *Sciences* 111, 5586–5591 (2014).
- 292 27. Saw, T. B. *et al.* Topological defects in epithelia govern cell death and extrusion.
 293 *Nature* 544, 212 (2017).
- 28. Balasubramaniam, L. *et al.* Investigating the nature of active forces in tissues reveals
 how contractile cells can form extensile monolayers. *Nat Mater* 20, 1156 (2021).
- 296
 29.
 Merle, T. *et al.* Compressive stress drives morphogenetic apoptosis. *bioRxiv*

 297
 2024.02.08.579454 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.02.08.579454.
- 30. Jalil Razavi, M., Zhang, T., Liu, T. & Wang, X. Cortical Folding Pattern and its
 Consistency Induced by Biological Growth. *Scientific Reports 2015 5:1* 5, 1–14
 (2015).
- 301 31. Scott, G. & Huang, Y. Engineering cerebral folding in brain organoids. *Neural Regen* 302 *Res* 17, 2420 (2022).
- 303 32. Shroff, N. P. *et al.* Proliferation-driven mechanical compression induces signalling
 304 centre formation during mammalian organ development. *Nature Cell Biology 2024* 1–
 305 11 (2024) doi:10.1038/s41556-024-01380-4.
- 306 33. Nagamatsu, G., Shimamoto, S., Hamazaki, N., Nishimura, Y. & Hayashi, K.
 307 Mechanical stress accompanied with nuclear rotation is involved in the dormant state
 308 of mouse oocytes. *Sci Adv* 5, (2019).
- 309 34. Tao, J. *et al.* Mechanical compression creates a quiescent muscle stem cell niche.
 310 *Communications Biology 2023 6:1* 6, 1–12 (2023).
- 311 35. Léger, O. *et al.* Pathogen-derived mechanical cues potentiate the spatio-temporal
 312 implementation of plant defense. *BMC Biol* 20, 1–16 (2022).
- 313 36. Bonazzi, D. *et al.* Intermittent Pili-Mediated Forces Fluidize Neisseria meningitidis
 314 Aggregates Promoting Vascular Colonization. *Cell* **174**, 143-155.e16 (2018).
- 315 37. Mason, G., Footer, M. J. & Rojas, E. R. Mechanosensation induces persistent bacterial
 316 growth during bacteriophage predation. *mBio* 14, (2023).
- 317 38. Han, Y. *et al.* Extracellular Matrix Rigidities Regulate the Tricarboxylic Acid Cycle
 318 and Antibiotic Resistance of Three-Dimensionally Confined Bacterial Microcolonies.
 319 Advanced Science 10, 2206153 (2023).
- 320 39. Cossart, P. & Lecuit, M. Interactions of Listeria monocytogenes with mammalian cells
 321 during entry and actin-based movement: bacterial factors, cellular ligands and
 322 signaling. *EMBO J* 17, 3797 (1998).
- Tilney, L. G. & Portnoy, D. A. Actin filaments and the growth, movement, and spread of the intracellular bacterial parasite, Listeria monocytogenes. *J Cell Biol* 109, 1597 (1989).

- Trainor, P. A. Craniofacial birth defects: The role of neural crest cells in the etiology
 and pathogenesis of Treacher Collins syndrome and the potential for prevention. *Am J Med Genet A* 152A, 2984–2994 (2010).
- 329 42. Stylianopoulos, T. *et al.* Causes, consequences, and remedies for growth-induced solid
 330 stress in murine and human tumors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*331 109, 15101–15108 (2012).
- 332 43. Nia, H. T. *et al.* Solid stress and elastic energy as measures of tumour
 333 mechanopathology. *Nat Biomed Eng* 1, 0004 (2017).
- 334 44. Nia, H. T. *et al.* Solid stress and elastic energy as measures of tumour
 335 mechanopathology. *Nat Biomed Eng* 1, 0004 (2016).
- 45. Voutouri, C., Polydorou, C., Papageorgis, P., Gkretsi, V. & Stylianopoulos, T.
 Hyaluronan-Derived Swelling of Solid Tumors, the Contribution of Collagen and
 Cancer Cells, and Implications for Cancer Therapy. *Neoplasia* 18, 732–741 (2016).
- 46. Cheng, G., Tse, J., Jain, R. K. & Munn, L. L. Micro-environmental mechanical stress
 controls tumor spheroid size and morphology by suppressing proliferation and inducing
 apoptosis in cancer cells. *PLoS One* 4, (2009).
- 342 47. Rizzuti, I. *et al.* Mechanical Control of Cell Proliferation Increases Resistance to
 343 Chemotherapeutic Agents. *Phys Rev Lett* **125**, 128103 (2020).
- 344 48. Provenzano, P. P. *et al.* Enzymatic Targeting of the Stroma Ablates Physical Barriers to
 345 Treatment of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 21, 418–429 (2012).
- 49. Alam, M. *et al.* Effectiveness of Low Doses of Hyaluronidase to Remove Hyaluronic
 Acid Filler Nodules: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Dermatol* 154, 765–772
 (2018).
- 50. Kalli, M., Papageorgis, P., Gkretsi, V. & Stylianopoulos, T. Solid Stress Facilitates
 Fibroblasts Activation to Promote Pancreatic Cancer Cell Migration. *Ann Biomed Eng*46, 657–669 (2018).
- Barbazan, J. *et al.* Cancer-associated fibroblasts actively compress cancer cells and
 modulate mechanotransduction. *Nature Communications 2023 14:1* 14, 1–17 (2023).
- Ishihara, K. L., Lee, E. K. W. & Borthakur, D. Induced resistance to Fusarium
 oxysporum in mechanically stressed Acacia koa A. Gray seedlings. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 113, 101584 (2021).
- 357 53. Bissell, M. J. & Hines, W. C. Why don't we get more cancer? A proposed role of the
 microenvironment in restraining cancer progression. *Nat Med* 17, 320–9 (2011).
- 54. Flier, J. S., Underhill, L. H. & Dvorak, H. F. Tumors: wounds that do not heal.
 Similarities between tumor stroma generation and wound healing. *N Engl J Med* 315, 1650–1659 (1986).
- 362 55. Récamier, J. C. A. Recherches Sur Le Traitement Du Cancer, Vol. 1: Par La
 363 Compression Méthodique Simple Ou Combinée. (Paris, 1829).
- 364 56. Alessandri, K. *et al.* Cellular capsules as a tool for multicellular spheroid production
 365 and for investigating the mechanics of tumor progression in vitro. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*366 USA 110, 14843–8 (2013).
- 367 57. Kalli, M. *et al.* Solid stress-induced migration is mediated by GDF15 through Akt
 368 pathway activation in pancreatic cancer cells. *Sci Rep* 9, 978 (2019).
- 58. Liu, Y.-J. *et al.* Confinement and low adhesion induce fast amoeboid migration of slow
 mesenchymal cells. *Cell* 160, 659–672 (2015).
- 59. Devany, J., Falk, M. J., Holt, L. J., Murugan, A. & Gardel, M. L. Epithelial tissue
 confinement inhibits cell growth and leads to volume-reducing divisions. *Dev Cell* 58, 1462-1476.e8 (2023).

- Taubenberger, A. V. *et al.* Hydrogels: 3D Microenvironment Stiffness Regulates
 Tumor Spheroid Growth and Mechanics via p21 and ROCK (Adv. Biosys. 9/2019). *Adv Biosyst* 3, 1970092 (2019).
- Nam, S. *et al.* Cell cycle progression in confining microenvironments is regulated by a
 growth-responsive TRPV4-PI3K/Akt-p27Kip1 signaling axis. *Sci Adv* 5, eaaw6171
 (2019).
- Ben Meriem, Z. *et al.* A microfluidic mechano-chemostat for tissues and organisms
 reveals that confined growth is accompanied with increased macromolecular crowding. *Lab Chip* 23, 4445–4455 (2023).
- 383
- 384 385
- 386